


# Standardization in Quantitative Imaging: A Multi-center Comparison of Radiomic Feature Values

## Summary

This dataset was used by the NCI's Quantitative Imaging Network (QIN) PET-CT Subgroup for their project titled: Multi-center Comparison of Radiomic Features from Different Software Packages on Digital Reference Objects and Patient Datasets. The purpose of this project was to assess the agreement among radiomic features when computed by several groups by using different software packages under very tightly controlled conditions, which included common image data sets and standardized feature definitions.

The image datasets (and Volumes of Interest – VOIs) provided here are the same ones used in that project and reported in the publication listed below (ISSN 2379-1381 <https://doi.org/10.18383/j.tom.2019.00031>). In addition, we have provided detailed information about the software packages used (Table 1 in that publication) as well as the individual feature value results for each image dataset and each software package that was used to create the summary tables (Tables 2, 3 and 4) in that publication.

For that project, nine common quantitative imaging features were selected for comparison including features that describe morphology, intensity, shape, and texture and that are described in detail in the International Biomarker Standardisation Initiative (IBSI, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.07003> and recent publication Zwanenburg A, Vallières M et al, The Image Biomarker Standardization Initiative: Standardized Quantitative Radiomics for High-Throughput Image-based Phenotyping. *Radiology*. 2020 May;295(2):328-338. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2020191145).



## Acknowledgements






The authors gratefully acknowledge the following sources of support:

- The National Cancer Institute Quantitative Network (QIN)

## Data Access

Data Access

Click the **Download** button to save the data.

Data Type	Download all or Query/Filter
Images (DICOM, 2.0 GB) CT images only	  (Requires <a href="#">NBI Data Retriever</a> .)
Segmentations (DICOM, 123 MB)	 
Segmentation (NiftI, zip, 4 MB)	
Feature Variability Software Package details (xlsx)	
DRO Results (xlsx)	
Patient Dataset Results (xlsx)	
Harmonized GLCM Entropy Results (xlsx)	

Click the Versions tab for more info about data releases.

Please contact [help@cancerimagingarchive.net](mailto:help@cancerimagingarchive.net) with any questions regarding usage.

**Detailed Description**

**Detailed Description**

DICOM Image Statistics	
Modalities	CT, SEG
Number of Patients	13
Number of Studies	13
Number of Series	26
Number of Images	3867
Images Size (GB)	2 GB

There are three datasets provided – two image datasets and one dataset consisting of four excel spreadsheets containing feature values.

1. The first image dataset is a set of three Digital Reference Objects (DROs) used in the project, which are: (a) a sphere with uniform intensity, (b) a sphere with intensity variation (c) a nonspherical (but mathematically defined) object with uniform intensity. These DROs were created by the team at Stanford University and are described in (Jaggi A, Mattonen SA, McNitt-Gray M, Napel S. Stanford DRO Toolkit: digital reference objects for standardization of radiomic features. Tomography. 2019;6:–.) and are a subset of the DROs described in [Stanford DRO Toolkit: Digital Reference Objects for Standardization of Radiomic Features](#). Each DRO is represented in both DICOM and NiftI format and the VOI was provided in each format as well (DICOM Segmentation Object (DSO) as well as NiftI segmentation boundary).
2. The second image dataset is the set of 10 patient CT scans, originating from the LIDC-IDRI dataset, that were used in the QIN multi-site collection of Lung CT data with Nodule Segmentations project ( <http://doi.org/10.7937/K9/TCIA.2015.1BUVFJR7> ). In that QIN study, a single lesion from each case was identified for analysis and then nine VOIs were generated using three repeat runs of three segmentation algorithms (one from each of three academic institutions) on each lesion. To eliminate one source of variability in our project, only one of the VOIs previously created for each lesion was identified and all sites used that same VOI definition. The specific VOI chosen for each lesion was the first run of the first algorithm (algorithm 1, run 1). DICOM images were provided for each dataset and the VOI was provided in both DICOM Segmentation Object (DSO) and NiftI segmentation formats.
3. The third dataset is a collection of four excel spreadsheets, each of which contains detailed information corresponding to each of the four tables in the publication. For example, the raw feature values and the summary tables for Tables 2,3 and 4 reported in the publication cited (<https://doi.org/10.18383/tom.2019.00031>). These tables are:

Software Package details : This table contains detailed information about the software packages used in the study (and listed in Table 1 in the publication) including version number and any parameters specified in the calculation of the features reported.

DRO results : This contains the original feature values obtained for each software package for each DRO as well as the table summarizing results across software packages (Table 2 in the publication) .

Patient Dataset results: This contains the original feature values for each software package for each patient dataset (1 lesion per case) as well as the table summarizing results across software packages and patient datasets (Table 3 in the publication).

[Harmonized GLCM Entropy Results](#) : This contains the values for the “Harmonized” GLCM Entropy feature for each patient dataset and each software package as well as the summary across software packages (Table 4 in the publication).






**Patient IDs for the 3 DROs from (<https://doi.org/10.7937/t062-8262>)**






Phantom-100.0-1.0-1.0-1.0-9.0-0.0-100.0-10.0-0.0-0.0  
 Phantom-100.0-1.0-1.0-1.0-9.0-0.0-100.0-10.0-50.0-0.0  
 Phantom-100.0-1.0-1.0-1.0-9.0-0.2-100.0-10.0-0.0-0.0

**Patient IDs for the 10 LIDC-IDRI subjects (<https://doi.org/10.7937/K9/TCIA.2015.LO9QL9SX>)**

LIDC-IDRI-0314  
 LIDC-IDRI-0325  
 LIDC-IDRI-0580  
 LIDC-IDRI-0766  
 LIDC-IDRI-0771  
 LIDC-IDRI-0811  
 LIDC-IDRI-0905  
 LIDC-IDRI-0963  
 LIDC-IDRI-0965  
 LIDC-IDRI-1012

Additional options for download:

DRO Data (3 subjects)	Download all or Query/Filter
Image Data (DICOM, 452.0 MB) CT only	  (Requires <a href="#">NBIA Data Retriever</a> .)
Segmentation Data - DSO (DICOM, 29.0 MB)	  (Requires <a href="#">NBIA Data Retriever</a> .)
Segmentation Data - (NIFTI, zip, 926 KB)	

Patient Datasets (10 subjects)	Download all or Query/Filter
Image Data (DICOM, 1.0 GB) CT only	  (Requires <a href="#">NBIA Data Retriever</a> .)
Segmentation Data - (DICOM, 94 MB)	  (Requires <a href="#">NBIA Data Retriever</a> .)
Segmentation Data - (NIFTI, zip, 21.0 KB)	

**Citations & Data Usage Policy**

**Citations & Data Usage Policy**

Users of this data must abide by the [TCIA Data Usage Policy](#) and the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License](#) under which it has been published. Attribution should include references to the following citations:



**Data Citation**

McNitt-Gray, M.\*, Napel, S.\*, Jaggi, A., Mattonen, S.A., Hadjiiski, L., Muzi, M., Goldgof, D., Balagurunathan, Y., Pierce, L.A., Kinahan, P.E., Jones, E.F., Nguyen, A., Virkud, A., Chan, H-P., Emaminejad, N., Wahj-Anwar, M., Daly, M., Abdalah, M., Yang, H., Lu, L., Lv, W., Rahmim, A., Gastounioti, A., Pati, S., Bakas, S., Kontos, D., Zhao, B., Kalpathy-Cramer, J., Farahani, K. (2020). **Data from the Standardization in Quantitative Imaging: A Multi-center Comparison of Radiomic Feature Values** [Data set]. The Cancer Imaging Archive. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7937/tcia.2020.9era-gg29>.

\*Authors contributed equally.

### Publication Citation

McNitt-Gray, M., Napel, S., Jaggi, A., Mattonen, S.A., Hadjiiski, L., Muzi, M., Goldgof, D., Balagurunathan, Y., Pierce, L.A., Kinahan, P.E., Jones, E.F., Nguyen, A., Virkud, A., Chan, H-P., Emaminejad, N., Wah-Anwar, M., Daly, M., Abdalah, M., Yang, H., Lu, L., Lv, W., Rahmim, A., Gastounioti, A., Pati, S., Bakas, S., Kontos, D., Zhao, B., Kalpathy-Cramer, J., Farahani, K. (2020). **Standardization in Quantitative Imaging: A Multi-center Comparison of Radiomic Feature Values, Tomography.** <https://doi.org/10.18383/j.tom.2019.00031>.

### TCIA Citation

Clark K, Vendt B, Smith K, Freymann J, Kirby J, Koppel P, Moore S, Phillips S, Maffitt D, Pringle M, Tarbox L, Prior F. **The Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA): Maintaining and Operating a Public Information Repository**, Journal of Digital Imaging, Volume 26, Number 6, December, 2013, pp 1045-1057. DOI: [10.1007/s10278-013-9622-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10278-013-9622-7).

### Grant Citations

- David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA - U01CA181156
- Stanford University School of Medicine – U01CA187947 and U24CA180927
- University of Michigan - U01CA232931
- University of Washington – R50CA211270, U01CA148131
- University of South Florida - U24CA180927, U01CA200464
- Moffitt Cancer Center – U01CA143062, U01CA200464, P30CA076292
- UC San Francisco - U01CA225427
- BC Cancer Research Centre - NSERC Discovery Grant: RGPIN-2019-06467
- Columbia University- U01CA225431
- Center for Biomedical Image Computing and Analytics at the University of Pennsylvania - U24CA189523, R01NS042645
- Massachusetts General Hospital- U01CA154601, U24CA180927

In addition to the dataset citation above, please be sure to cite the following if you utilize these data in your research:

### Analysis Citation






Jayashree Kalpathy-Cramer, Sandy Napel, Dmitry Goldgof, Binsheng Zhao. (2015). Multi-site collection of Lung CT data with Nodule Segmentations. The Cancer Imaging Archive. <http://doi.org/10.7937/K9/TCIA.2015.1BUVJR7>

## Other Publications Using This Data

TCIA maintains [a list of publications](#) which leverage TCIA data. If you have a manuscript you'd like to add please [contact the TCIA Helpdesk](#).

### Versions

#### Version 1 (Current): Updated 2020/05/XX

Data Type	Download all or Query/Filter
Images (DICOM, 2.0 GB) CT images only	  (Requires <a href="#">NBIA Data Retriever</a> .)
Segmentations (DICOM, 123 MB)	 
Segmentation (NifTI, zip, 4 MB)	
Feature Variability Software Package details (xlsx)	
DRO Results (xlsx)	
Patient Dataset Results (xlsx)	
Harmonized GLCM Entropy Results (xlsx)	

